

# PREVENTION OF TYPE 2 DIABETES & GESTATIONAL DIABETES BY ADDRESSING THE ROOT CAUSES OF ILL HEALTH

## Position Statement: October 2022

(Replaces *Prevention of type 2 diabetes through reducing obesity* July 2020)

### Key points

- We are concerned about the continuing rise in type 2 and gestational diabetes in the UK.
- We are particularly concerned about the growing numbers of younger people developing type 2 diabetes.
- There is an urgent need to address the widening inequalities that exist in type 2 and gestational diabetes.
- We are evolving our prevention strategy to focus on the underlying social determinants of health – the conditions into which people are born and live that create health or ill health.
- We are still committed to addressing the commercial determinants of health – the production and promotion of unhealthy food.
- A range of interventions are required to address these underlying causes and action is needed from all UK Governments at every level.

### Why have we produced this position statement?

We are concerned about the continuing rise in type 2 diabetes which has doubled in the last 15 years<sup>1</sup> and in particular about the disproportionate impact of type 2 diabetes in areas of higher deprivation and in ethnic minority communities. People living in the poorest households are 2.3 times more likely to have type 2 diabetes than those with the highest household income<sup>2</sup>. People of South Asian ethnicity (including Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi) are two to four times more likely to develop type 2 diabetes than people of **White European ethnicity**.

Black African and Black Caribbean people are 1.5 to 3 times more likely to develop type 2 diabetes than White Europeans<sup>3</sup>.

We are also very concerned about the numbers of children and younger adults (under 40 years) developing type 2 diabetes, which was previously seen as a condition predominantly affecting people in middle and old age. The unequal impact of type 2 diabetes at an earlier age is particularly stark with prevalence for children and adults under 40 being 4.2 times higher in the most deprived areas compared to the least deprived areas, and almost 6 times higher for children under 18 years<sup>4</sup>.

The prevalence of gestational diabetes is also increasing in the UK; increases in the proportion of pregnant women at risk, either because of their ethnicity or increasing weight or age, are all contributing factors<sup>5</sup>. Pregnant women living in areas of deprivation have been found to be almost twice as likely to be diagnosed with gestational diabetes<sup>6</sup>. Gestational diabetes affects 4-5 in 100 women during pregnancy. This is of particular concern as having gestational diabetes increases a women's risk of developing type 2 diabetes after giving birth. And it also increases the risk of their child becoming overweight and going on to develop type 2 diabetes as an adult.

Currently 4.9 million people – 1 in 14 - are living with diabetes in the UK<sup>7</sup>. 90% of people living with diabetes have type 2, and in addition nearly 2.4 million people in England are at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes<sup>8</sup>.

Some significant risk factors for type 2 diabetes, including age, family history and ethnicity, can't be modified. However, a number of the risk factors associated with type 2 diabetes are modifiable and these include physical activity, stress/depression, level of sleep, smoking and alcohol consumption. However, the most significant modifiable risk factor is obesity - around 90% of people with (newly diagnosed) type 2 diabetes are living with obesity or overweight<sup>9</sup>. The likelihood of having all the modifiable risk factors, including living with obesity, are increased for people living in poverty, which is therefore a significant and modifiable determinant of type 2 diabetes.

Pregnant women living with obesity have also been found to be 4-8 times more likely to develop gestational diabetes<sup>10</sup>. Pregnant women living in areas of deprivation have also been found to be almost twice as likely to be diagnosed with gestational diabetes<sup>11</sup>.

The UK is now the third most overweight country in the G7. Across the UK approximately 64% of adults are now living with obesity or overweight, increasing their risk of developing type 2 diabetes and other serious health conditions.

The numbers of people living with obesity and overweight are rising across all society. Crucially, however, the impact of obesity is not felt equally, and it is strongly linked to socio-economic deprivation (for example, the obesity rate for women in the most deprived groups

in England is 39.5%, compared to 22.4% for the least deprived<sup>12</sup>, though the gap is not so stark in some areas of the UK and varies across age groups, and is it is also more stark for those living with obesity than overweight, which is more equally distributed.

The causes of obesity are complex, with many different contributing factors that are not fully understood. The Foresight 2007 report identified over 100 factors at play including biological, societal, psychological and economic<sup>13</sup>.

The single largest determinant of health is poverty. Living in poverty restricts people's ability to live a healthy life. The amount of money that someone has in their pocket directly dictates what food they can buy. For households in the lowest income decile, 75% of disposable income would need to be spent on food to meet the UK government's Eatwell Guide costs<sup>14</sup>. Currently, 20% of people living in the United Kingdom live in food insecurity and experience the psychological and physiological impact created by food insecurity<sup>15,16</sup>. As well as being less able to afford healthy food, people in more deprived areas have less access to healthy food, are more likely to live in inadequate accommodation without the means or resource to prepare and cook healthy food, are targeted by a greater number of unhealthy food advertisements, and have significantly less access to green outdoor space.

There is also some evidence to show that even accounting for individual behaviours and factors such as obesity, there remains an independent association between poverty and risk of type 2 diabetes in some groups<sup>17</sup>.

To truly turn the tide on the rising rates of obesity, type 2 diabetes and gestational diabetes we need to improve income, housing, employment conditions, and the local environments we live in.<sup>18</sup> There is a need for policy makers to move their focus in prevention strategies from being about individual responsibility to addressing the conditions and the environment in which people live. Interventions that target individual behaviour can also compound obesity stigma, by locating the responsibility, and possible blame, with the person rather than their environment.

We need to address the way that food is produced, sold and promoted and shift industry towards a model that supports access to healthy food for everyone. As food and farming policy developments across the UK seek to promote food security and sustainability, the many potential links these policies have with providing healthy accessible diets should be integral.

No single policy intervention will be sufficient, there are numerous steps that can be taken by governments, industry and communities. This position statement sets out what needs to change.

## How did we develop this position?

We developed this statement through reviewing literature and engaging with Diabetes UK Council of Healthcare Professionals and other organisations and experts working to address obesity and the social determinants of health through the Obesity Health Alliance,

Scottish Obesity Alliance, Obesity Alliance Cymru and colleagues in Northern Ireland. We also engaged with organisations who are working **for social justice and to address poverty, such as Joseph Rowntree Foundation, Child Poverty Action Group and the Institute for Health Equity** and drew on the published work of **Professor Sir Michael Marmot and others.**

## What we say about this issue

### A healthier vision for the UK – the changes that are needed

Reversing the rise of type 2 and gestational diabetes will require sustained interventions across a range of areas as well as addressing the related underlying social determinants to enable people to access healthier diets and live healthier lives. There is no magic bullet - none of our proposed interventions and recommendations are likely to achieve the change we need to see if enacted in isolation, but taken together, they can make a difference. UK Governments should ensure that people grow, live and work in health sustaining communities and environments and take action across all the following areas to have an impact.

### We need a UK cross-government strategy to address the social determinants of health, focused on addressing poverty:

- Governments at a national and local level should adopt a health-in-all-policies approach so that the health implications of all government decisions are taken into account.
- Governments should adopt a position where health and wellbeing is seen as an equally important measure of progress as GDP currently is – striving to create a wellbeing economy with health equity.
- The Government in England should enact the socio-economic duty under Section 2 of the Equality Act, both the Scottish and Welsh Governments have already implemented this duty for government and all public bodies. Equality law is devolved to Northern Ireland and similar legislation should be enacted in Northern Ireland to ensure the significant gaps in equality law between Great Britain and Northern Ireland since the introduction of the Equality Act are narrowed<sup>19</sup>.
- Governments in England and Northern Ireland should enact the socio-economic duty under Section 2 of the Equality Act, both the Scottish and Welsh Governments have already implemented this duty for government and all public bodies.
- Governments should take action to address climate change ensuring that strategies to do this do not exacerbate inequalities and that they recognise the heavy impact of climate change on the social determinants of health and the negative impact on food security.

**We need to address child poverty and ensure everyone has the resources required for a healthy life:**

- Governments at all levels must take action to ensure all families have sufficient income to prevent the poverty that is strongly associated with childhood obesity, mental health problems and increased likelihood of early onset type 2 diabetes. This includes:
- Governments should take action to increase cash-first social security payments to parents/carers of children.
- Government should make a commitment to ensure there are no further reductions in the real-terms value of social security and that social security payments rise at least in line with inflation.
- There should be a reversal in the £20 a week cut to Universal Credit and removing the 2-child limit in social security payments.
- Barriers to social security to be removed – such as the five week wait for Universal Credit –to ensure everyone who has the right to can access the social security they are entitled to.
- Government should end the practise of No Recourse to Public Funds (NRPF) to ensure that everyone living in the UK can access social security and children can access free school meals.
- A comprehensive review of social security levels is needed to achieve a level that enables everyone to have an adequate standard of living, free from the constraints of poverty.
- Governments at all levels must take action to ensure families have the support they need, including:
  - Increasing and expanding Healthy Start/ Best Start Foods (Scotland).
  - Ensuring universal breastfeeding support programmes are accessible for all to work towards reversing the UK’s low breastfeeding rates.
  - Ensuring children’s centres/family hubs are accessible for all within areas of greater deprivation in order to provide vital support.
  - Ensuring all children are able to access healthy free school meals, rolled out on a universal basis.
- Schools should follow a “whole school approach” to healthy food and physical activity, including:
  - Providing food education through all years with emphasis on health and diet sustainability rather than calorie or weight management which could unintentionally impact eating disorders.
  - Incorporating both structured and unstructured approaches to physical activity throughout the day.
  - Mandating school standards and inspections to monitor and assess the provision of food education and physical activity within schools to ensure a

high level, as well as ensuring that the food served meets high nutritional standards.

**We need a system that provides and promotes access to healthy food for all:**

- The UK Government should work together with the devolved administrations to enact bold fiscal measures to enable healthier diets via reformulation and reduction of consumption of unhealthy products. Any measures introduced should consider their impact on inequalities in access to healthy food and seek to address this through making healthier options more accessible (including by using any revenue gained for this purpose).
- Government should ensure that all food and drink advertising and promotions promote healthier foods. The range of tactics employed by businesses in promoting unhealthy products should be both restricted and countered by a shift to promote healthier food.
- The Government should regularly review the nutrient profiling model used to define foods and drinks that are subject to advertising and promotional restrictions, based on the most up to date evidence<sup>20</sup>.
- Government should ensure robust food standards should be updated, maintained, and enforced across the UK in all public settings.
- Data collection of large company's sales of HFSS products should be enforced by Government and reported on to track progress and encourage corporate responsibility.
- Front of pack traffic light labelling should be mandatory. Information on calorie and carbohydrates should be included on packaging and in the out of home sector and be clear, consistent, and mandatory across the UK allowing people to access quality nutritional information about their food and drink wherever it is purchased.
- UK and devolved governments should explore levers to reduce portion sizes for food and drink and make these reduced portion sizes consistent across retail and out of home settings, with clear labelling on what is considered a portion size.
- UK and devolved governments should review the evidence on ultra-processed foods and its impact on health conditions and obesity, and if necessary, explore levers to reduce the consumption of these.

**We need to develop environments and neighbourhoods that are conducive to good health, supporting wellbeing and enabling greater levels of physical activity:**

- Governments across the UK must ensure that the planning process recognises the importance of a health promoting environment and uses all the tools across national and local government to create an environment that addresses inequalities in access to green space and supports people to live healthier lives.

- The UK Chief Medical Officers' guidance on the levels of exercise required for good health across different ages should be supported by national and local government initiatives to improve access to exercise across all of society.
- Government, both national and local, must make a step change in the levels of investment to support active travel through the cycling and walking infrastructure.
- Local authorities should provide communal spaces that support wellbeing in every community; increasing outdoor areas are essential for exercise, relaxation and play.
- Governments should review and address the barriers to accessing green space and active travel, including safety, cost and infrastructure.
- Local authorities and businesses should expand access to bicycle schemes and repair schemes, to ensure that everyone who wants to travel by bicycle can access one. Workplace initiatives such as cycle to work schemes should be supported wherever possible.
- Governments across the UK should enact the equivalent of the Place Standard (as currently in place in Scotland) and work towards building healthy, sustainable '20-minute neighbourhoods'.
- National and regional Governments across the UK should limit the number of unhealthy food and drink outlets within areas, with particular focus on restrictions near schools and environments frequented by young people and families.
- Local authorities should use the powers they have to restrict the exposure of children to adverts for unhealthy food and promote healthy food<sup>21</sup>.
- Governments should increase the use of social prescribing to improve access to exercise, well-being, peer support and community food projects. Funding should be provided through the NHS to support this and partnerships between health, local authority and community based organisations should be facilitated.

### **We need to create healthy working lives:**

- Government should make a commitment that National Minimum Wage rates at least keep track with inflation.
- Government should implement parity for minimum wage rates across age bands – with the current 'National Minimum Wage' for 16–22-year-olds increased to the over age 23 'National Living Wage' rate (as set independently by the Living Wage Foundation).
- There should be a comprehensive review of National Minimum Wage rates and they should be increased to a level that allows for an adequate standard of living.
- Government should ensure adequate rights at work and sufficient enforcement where rights are breached, in order to create fair workplaces for all.
- Employers should provide a contractual right to paid time off to attend medical appointments.
- Government should take action to ensure zero hours contract workers, and workers in the 'gig economy' have full employment rights and protections, including access to Statutory Sick Pay.

### **We need to build health creating housing:**

- Government should guarantee the right for everyone to have access to high-quality, secure housing – central to this is ensuring adequate supply of local authority housing stock.
- National and local government must ensure the enforcement of housing quality standards in each of the four nations of the United Kingdom.

### **We need to address climate change:**

- Governments must plan to develop sustainable, local high-quality food networks as part of action to address climate change. This includes addressing food deserts and ensuring people can access a sustainable diet with healthy, culturally appropriate and affordable food within local communities.
- Governments should ensure that measures to address climate change do not impact negatively on those who are already disadvantaged in society. Environmental sustainability and health equity should be pursued together.

### **We need further investment in research and innovation:**

- Governments should increase investment and collaboration in systems-based approaches to the prevention of type 2 and gestational diabetes.

## **Evidence and analysis - the reasons why we are saying what we do**

### **Impact of social determinants of health - how poverty increases type 2 and gestational diabetes risk and implications for social justice**

- People have a right to good health, regardless of social status, and Governments have a responsibility to address factors which are obstructing that. The UK is a signatory to the World Health Organisation's Constitution, which sets out that 'the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition'<sup>22</sup>. The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child sets out that all young people have a right to good health and health services and also the right to a standard of living that is good enough to meet their physical and social needs and support their development<sup>23</sup>.
- Currently the economic condition of poverty is preventing people from fulfilment of this basic human right. Living in poverty has psychological and physiological impacts, which increase the risk a person will be diagnosed with type 2 or gestational diabetes.
- Currently, over 1 in 5 people in the UK live in poverty, for children this is almost 1 in 3 (31%). Poverty has been increasing in the UK since 2010<sup>24</sup>. Healthy life expectancy at birth ranges from 54 years in the most deprived quintile compared to 70 years in the most affluent quintile<sup>25</sup>. In the 10% most deprived neighbourhoods: 19% of people are



of Bangladeshi ethnicity, 31% of Pakistani ethnicity, 15% of Black African ethnicity, 14% of Black Caribbean ethnicity and 15% of Arab ethnicity<sup>26</sup>.

- People in the poorest households are 2.3 times more likely to have type 2 diabetes than those in the highest household income quintile (16% compared to 7%)<sup>27</sup>. A similar increased risk is found with gestational diabetes, where rates in deprived communities are twice as high as in the most affluent areas<sup>28</sup>.
- In 2019, obesity for men was highest in the most deprived quintile at 30%. For women it was the same, with 39% living with obesity in the most deprived quintile<sup>29</sup>. Obesity rates for children living in poverty have also increased disproportionately and at a higher rate over the last 15 years, compared to children in the most affluent families<sup>30</sup>. Obesity prevalence is twice as high in the most deprived children and children in deprived communities are five times as likely to be living with severe obesity compared to children in the most affluent communities<sup>31</sup>.
- The likelihood of type 2 diabetes is significantly higher for people living on a low-income, even after adjusting for factors such as Body Mass Index (BMI) and physical activity levels. A Canadian study found that living in poverty at any time during a person's lifespan increased the risk of type 2 diabetes by 26 percent, again this risk remains unchanged when factoring in weight or physical activity<sup>32</sup>.
- Findings from the Whitehall cohort study show that only 33% of the increased risk in type 2 diabetes prevalence among the lowest occupational grades could be explained by health behaviours and BMI<sup>33</sup>. Evidence has shown that chronic stress (often associated with the daily experience of living in poverty) impacts on insulin resistance and can contribute to the development of insulin resistance<sup>34</sup>. A substantial body of medical research describes how poverty can directly cause insulin-resistant states, pre-diabetes, and, eventually, diabetes<sup>35</sup>. The experience of deprivation itself affects sugar intake (through physiological and behavioural mechanisms) which affect insulin resistance<sup>36,37</sup>.
- Currently, 20% of people living in the United Kingdom live in food insecurity and experience the psychological and physiological impact of food insecurity<sup>38,39</sup>. Evidence also shows that poverty and inequality induce a need to seek high calorie foods and that stress, and an uncertain future increases attraction to calorie dense foods<sup>40,41</sup>.
- If you're on a lower income, you're more likely to opt for meals that have more calories per pound - these foods tend to be calorie dense, ultra-processed and nutrient poor<sup>42</sup>.
- The House of Lords Select Committee on Food, Poverty, Health and the Environment found in their recent inquiry that for some people living on a lower income, energy costs inhibited them from spending much time on cooking, increasing their reliance on convenience foods<sup>43</sup>.

## **Child poverty and supporting a healthy start to life**

In Scotland<sup>44</sup> and England 30%<sup>45</sup> of children aged 2-15 years have obesity or overweight. In Northern Ireland this figure is 26% of children<sup>46</sup>, and in Wales this figure is almost 27%<sup>47</sup>.

- Universal breastfeeding
  - There is growing evidence that breastfeeding gives protection against overweight and obesity in infancy<sup>48</sup>.
  - The UK has one of the lowest levels of breastfeeding in Europe and a key method to counter this is more face-to-face support<sup>49</sup>.
- Children's centres/family hubs
  - Currently there is a lack of provision especially in areas of deprivation.
  - There is a strong evidence base on the role of parental education in tackling childhood obesity<sup>50</sup>.
- Physical activity in schools
  - Physical activity is important in maintaining a healthy weight
  - Sport England data shows that only 40% of children get the recommended 30 minutes of exercise a day during school time.
  - Only 13% of children in Northern Ireland meet physical activity guidelines<sup>51</sup>. In Wales only 18% of children meet recommended physical activity guidelines<sup>52</sup>.
- Food education
  - Key opportunity to improve children's diets by making food education integral to school curriculums.
  - The national education curriculum differs by nation. There is a lack of consistency in the English food curriculum with many not meeting the requirements.<sup>53</sup>
- Healthy free school meals
  - Free school meals are a simple way to ensure that children are receiving at least one healthy meal a day.
  - Free school meals eligibility differs by each UK nation with universal coverage in Scottish primary schools, more generous means tested eligibility in Northern Ireland and Welsh Government has committed to providing every primary school pupil in Wales a free school meal by 2024.
  - In England, nearly half of food insecure families do not currently qualify for free school meals.
  - Children who have no recourse for public funds or are undocumented are ineligible for free school meals. There are almost 400,000 such children in the UK<sup>54</sup>.
  - Universal provision would significantly reduce the current associated stigma that some children experience and increase uptake amongst those who most need free school meals<sup>55</sup>.

## **A system that provides and promotes healthy food for all**

- Reformulation
  - Only 31% of adults in England, and only 8% of teenagers meet the 5 A Day recommendation for fruit and vegetables. Average fibre intake in adults is 19g per day, well below the recommended 30g per day<sup>56</sup>.

- Adults should consume no more than 30g of free sugar a day, but on average in England adults eat 50g per day. Children eat even more, with teenagers aged 11–18yrs eating an average of 55g per day<sup>57</sup>.
- The Soft Drinks Industry Levy (SDIL) came into effect in April 2018. The treasury announcement of the levy resulted in over 50% of manufacturers reducing the sugar content of their drinks before it came into effect<sup>58</sup>.
- There was a 28.8% reduction in the total sugar content per 100ml of drinks subject to the SDIL between 2015 and 2018<sup>59</sup>.
- Mandatory schemes have been shown to have far greater impact. The Public Health England sugar reduction programme has had limited success in encouraging manufacturers to reformulate, achieving a 3.0% reduction across all categories in its third-year progress report<sup>60</sup>.
- Reformulating food to reduce free sugar and salt, and reducing portion size of food, could lead to a significant reduction in early death and long-term health conditions<sup>61</sup>.
- A systematic review showed positive results for reformulation and health outcomes, despite heterogeneity of studies<sup>62</sup>.
- A survey commissioned by Diabetes UK found that 75% of British adults want food manufacturers to reduce the amount of saturated fat, salt and added sugar in their products to make it easier for people to eat more healthily<sup>63</sup>.
- Food businesses have said that in order to support a reduction of HFSS products they need a level playing field so that they can remain competitive<sup>64</sup>.
- Ultra-processed foods
  - There is some evidence of an association between ultra-processed foods and poor health outcomes, including overweight, obesity and cardio-metabolic risks; cancer, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases<sup>65, 66</sup>. We are still reviewing this in relation to prevention of type 2 diabetes and will develop recommendations on this going forward.
- Advertising and promotions
  - British spending habits on snacks have been surveyed each year since 1974, with the most recent results from 2019. Since the survey began it is estimated that we are spending five times more on cakes and pastries, six times more on biscuits and cereal bars, seven times more on chocolate bars and twenty-three times more on crisps<sup>67</sup>.
  - Promotions have an important impact on buying behaviours – in Britain 41% of shopper expenditure is on promoted products<sup>68</sup>.
  - Evidence shows that products higher in sugar, or those that are ‘less healthy’, are more likely to be promoted through price promotions<sup>69, 70</sup>.
  - Price promotions also result in consumers purchasing more than they otherwise would<sup>71</sup>.

- Children are classed as a vulnerable audience when it comes to advertising<sup>72</sup> because they lack understanding of its persuasive intent<sup>73</sup>.
- Children are also exposed to advertising of HFSS products in other settings, including online<sup>74</sup>.
- Products aimed at children aim to bolster sales with the use of cartoon characters, collaborating with popular brands, and misleading nutritional information<sup>75,76,77,78</sup>.
- There is evidence which shows that those from the most deprived backgrounds are most exposed to advertising of HFSS products<sup>79</sup>.
- A ban on junk food advertising by Transport for London contributed to a 1,000 calorie decrease (6.7%) in average weekly household purchases of energy from HFSS products<sup>80</sup>.
  
- Food Standards
  - The public sector food supplies 1.9 billion meals a year at the cost of £2.4 billion<sup>81</sup>. Public procurement of food could be far more influential in setting high standards.
  - The World Health Organisation has urged governments to promote healthy food in public facilities arguing it could help to prevent 8 million annual deaths caused by unhealthy diets<sup>82</sup>.
  
- Labelling
  - Young consumers and adults would prefer the healthier choices to be easier to identify. Front of package nutrition information is not consistent, and health nutritional claims can be misleading<sup>83, 84, 85</sup>.
  - In order to make informed choices about food and drink, people need to know what is in the products they are consuming. Education on nutritional labelling should be accessible to all with reasonable adjustments made to meet the needs of our diverse population.
  - Evidence shows that labelling systems that include colour coding, the words 'high', 'medium', and 'low', and daily reference intakes are the most helpful to consumers<sup>86</sup>.
  - Front-of-pack labelling may also play a role in encouraging manufacturers to reformulate their products.
  - Over a quarter of adults and one fifth of children eat food from out-of-home outlets at least once a week<sup>87</sup>. These products tend to be higher in energy, fat, sugar and salt<sup>88</sup>. It is therefore vital that people are informed about the nutritional content of the food and drink in these settings.
  - A Cochrane review shows that adding calorie labels to menus and next to food in restaurants, coffee shops and cafeterias could reduce the calories that people consume<sup>89</sup>.

- Please see our [separate position statement](#) on nutritional labelling for further information.
- Data collection
  - The English National Food Strategy (July 2021) calls for a legal duty on food businesses with over 250 employees to publish data on HFSS food sales.
  - Transparency is important in encouraging good practice and businesses support this as providing a level playing field<sup>90</sup>.
- Portion size
  - Adults in the UK eat more than the recommended amounts of calories<sup>91</sup> sugar<sup>92</sup>, saturated fat and salt<sup>93</sup>.
  - The size of portions, packages, and tableware has increased over the last 5 decades and a systematic review has shown that larger portions of food increase people's consumption. It has been suggested that eliminating larger portions from the diet could reduce average daily energy consumed by 12-16% among UK adults<sup>94</sup>.
  - Economic analysis of different policy interventions has suggested that portion control is one of the most cost effective and evidenced based options for reducing obesity<sup>95</sup>.
- Price
  - Healthier foods are nearly 3 times as expensive as less healthy foods, calorie for calorie<sup>96</sup>.

## **Developing environments / neighbourhoods that are conducive to good health, supporting wellbeing and enabling greater levels of physical activity**

- Marmot's review of 'ignored places' shows that higher rates of diabetes are found in deprived areas that have less community assets (such as access to green space, active travel initiatives, healthy high streets and good education facilities)<sup>97</sup>.
- Living in a community with accessible green space reduces the prevalence of obesity and diabetes<sup>98</sup>. Studies have also found the residential location (organisation of urban space and spatial distribution of health-related resources) of individuals is a highly significant and independent type 2 diabetes predictor even after adjusting for BMI, age and ethnicity<sup>99</sup>.
- There is a growing body of evidence that use of social prescribing is effective at improving general health and quality of life. Studies have pointed to improvements in quality of life and emotional wellbeing, mental and general wellbeing, and levels of depression and anxiety<sup>100</sup>.

- When people in areas identified as ‘left-behind’ are asked what resources they want in their community, over half identified parks as key resource<sup>101</sup>.
- In England over 10 million people live in areas without sufficient access to green space<sup>102</sup>. Almost 40% of ethnic minority people live in the most green-space deprived areas, compared to just 14% of white people. The average amount of public green space for people in the most deprived green space neighbourhoods is less than 9m<sup>2</sup> (the average size of a garden shed). Children from the most deprived areas are 20% less likely to spend time outside than those in affluent areas<sup>103</sup>.
- The 2018 Scottish Household survey reported that adults living in the most deprived areas of Scotland were less likely to have made any visits to the outdoors in the past 12 months<sup>104</sup>. This figure was 18% in the most deprived areas compared to 5% in the least deprived areas. Statistics also found that the most socially deprived communities are the least likely to have access to a local green space within a five-minute walk and the most likely to face an 11 minute plus walk.
- The 2019-20 National Survey for Wales reports that physical activity rates were lower among women, older adults, and more deprived areas. 33% of adults were reported as being inactive (having done less than 30 minutes of activity the previous week)<sup>105</sup>.
- People who live within a half mile of green space (such as parks, public gardens, and greenways) have a lower incidence of fifteen diseases, including type 2 diabetes<sup>106</sup>. Green space reduces obesity and depression, saving the NHS more than £100 million each year in GP visits and prescriptions<sup>107</sup>.
- Physical activity is important for preventing weight gain, maintaining and healthy weight, and maintaining weight loss<sup>108</sup>.
- Independent of its impact on weight loss, physical activity can also reduce people’s risk of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease<sup>109</sup>.
- Being sedentary is also independently associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes<sup>110</sup>.
- Compared with inactive commuting, active commuting was found to reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes<sup>111</sup>. Alongside this, people who live in walkable neighbourhoods (neighbourhoods where daily service can be accessed within a 20-minute walk) have a lower incidence of pre-diabetes and diabetes<sup>112</sup>. 75% of people when surveyed said they would like to see more money spent on cycling infrastructure in their community<sup>113</sup>, however currently in the UK investment in active travel (such as walking and cycling infrastructure) pales in comparison to investment on roads.<sup>114</sup>
- Planning process
  - Many people in the UK live within communities that are shaped and dependent on car travel<sup>115</sup> with little in the way of local amenities.
  - People in the most deprived areas are more likely to have less access to green spaces<sup>116</sup>.
  - Enabling people to live more active lives, with access to healthy food is important in reducing obesity.
- Limiting the number of unhealthy food and drink outlets

- Exposure to greater levels of takeaway outlets is associated with greater levels of obesity prevalence<sup>117</sup>.
- People from the most deprived communities are more likely to live in neighbourhoods with more unhealthy food and drink outlets. This includes having more unhealthy online takeaway options<sup>118</sup>.
- Many local governments are utilising different types of methods for limiting unhealthy food and drink outlets<sup>119</sup>. Analysis is needed to understand which options are the most effective.

## **Employment – Creating healthy working lives**

- Evidence shows there is an association between job insecurity and diabetes risk<sup>120</sup>. Studies show that increased job insecurity directly increases the risk of an increased BMI<sup>121</sup>.
- High job insecurity is also associated with an increased risk of incident diabetes compared with low job insecurity<sup>122</sup>.
- In the Marmot Review: 10 years on, good quality work is defined as ‘including job security; adequate pay for a healthy life; strong working relationships and social support; promotion of health, safety and psychosocial wellbeing; support for employee voice and representation; inclusion of varied and interesting work; a fair workplace; promotion of learning development and skills use; a good effort–reward balance; support for autonomy, control and task discretion; and good work–life balance’<sup>123</sup>.
- Employment prospects are linked to a person’s socio-economic status, with those in poverty more likely to work shift-work and work night shifts. Working longer hours has been shown to increase a person’s risk of type 2 diabetes, this link is only present in people who earn at the lower end of the income spectrum<sup>124</sup>. Compared with day workers, night shift workers are also at a higher risk for type 2 diabetes<sup>125</sup>.
- The ‘gig economy’ involves high work stress and often an effort-reward imbalance, as well as job insecurity that has been shown to have adverse effects on health. In a US study, job strain, the most widely studied form of work stress, was found to be associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes in middle aged and older workers independently of lifestyle factors<sup>126</sup>.

## **Housing – Building health creating housing**

- There is some evidence that poor quality housing is an independent diabetes risk - where after controlling for other factors such as BMI, health behaviours and social support, poor housing was found to be increase diabetes risk significantly. One study conducted amongst middle aged Black Americans found every housing condition rated fair to poor to be associated with around a doubled risk of developing type 2 diabetes<sup>127</sup>.
- There is also a relationship between poor quality housing and poverty. In 2019/20, 46% of social renters and 33% of private renters were in relative poverty, compared to 15%

of people who owned their home outright and 11% of those who have a mortgage. People who rent are more likely to be living in substandard accommodation, which negatively impacts on their health<sup>128</sup>.

- Rates of type 2 diabetes have also been proven to be significantly higher and concentrated in areas characterised by lower incomes and crowded housing<sup>129</sup>.
- When people living in areas of UK identified as 'left-behind' were asked what resources they want in their community over 40% said housing<sup>130</sup>.

## **Climate change**

Climate change heavily impacts on the social determinants for good health, like jobs, equality and access to health care and social support. Creating a more sustainable, local, healthy food system is what would ultimately lead to accessible, healthy diets.

- Climate change is already having a negative impact on food security, mainly through disrupted food production<sup>131</sup>, which is causing considerable health burdens, especially in poorer parts of the world.
- Whilst foods like cereals, potatoes, dairy and eggs are predominantly produced in the UK, we are much more dependent on imports for our supply of fruits, grains, pulses and vegetables<sup>132</sup>.
- The health of people in low-income and disadvantaged countries and communities is impacted the most by the climate crisis and they contribute the least to its causes. They also pay, as a proportion of income, the most towards implementing policy responses and benefit least from those policies<sup>133</sup>.

## **What will Diabetes UK do to address issues raised here?**

- We are committed to addressing the underlying causes of type 2 diabetes and obesity, including the social determinants of health
- We are still learning how we can better work for social justice and address poverty and will continue to increase our knowledge and connections to do that.
- We will seek alliances and partnerships that can help us to make a positive impact and work most effectively to address the social determinants of health.



## REFERENCES

---

- <sup>1</sup> Diabetes UK (2021) Diabetes Diagnoses Doubled [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/about\\_us/news/diabetes-diagnoses-doubled-prevalence-2021](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/about_us/news/diabetes-diagnoses-doubled-prevalence-2021)
- <sup>2</sup> NHS Digital (2020) Health Survey for England 2019
- <sup>3</sup> Health and Social Care Information Centre (2006). Health Survey for England 2004, Health of Ethnic Minorities and Ntuk, U.E., Gill, J.M.R., Mackay, D.F., Sattar N. & Pell, J.P. (2014). Ethnic-Specific Obesity Cut offs for Diabetes Risk: Cross-sectional Study of 490,288 UK Biobank Participants. *Diabetes Care* 37(9), 2500–7 <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-2966>
- <sup>4</sup> NDA 2020-21 NHS Digital (2021) National Diabetes Audit: Young People with Type 2 Diabetes, 2019-20: Supporting Information
- <sup>5</sup> Farrar D, Simmonds M, Griffin S, et al. Health Technology Assessment, No. 20.86. (2016 Nov). [NIHR Journals Library; Prevalence of gestational diabetes in the UK and Republic of Ireland: a systematic review - The identification and treatment of women with hyperglycaemia in pregnancy: an analysis of individual participant data, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and an economic evaluation - NCBI Bookshelf \(nih.gov\)](#)
- <sup>6</sup> Collier A, Abraham C, Godwin J, et al. (2016). [Reported prevalence of gestational diabetes in Scotland: The relationship with obesity, age, socioeconomic status, smoking and macrosomia, and how many are we missing? - Collier - 2017 - Journal of Diabetes Investigation - Wiley Online Library](#)
- <sup>7</sup> Diabetes UK (2021) Diabetes Diagnoses Doubled [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/about\\_us/news/diabetes-diagnoses-doubled-prevalence-2021](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/about_us/news/diabetes-diagnoses-doubled-prevalence-2021)
- <sup>8</sup> NHS Digital (2021) National Diabetes Audit, Non-Diabetic Hyperglycaemia, 2020-21: Diagnoses and Demographics
- <sup>9</sup> NHS Digital (2020) National Diabetes Audit - Report 1 Care Processes and Treatment Targets 2018-19, Full Report: Characteristic of People with Diabetes
- <sup>10</sup> Chu, Susan & Callaghan, William & Kim, Shin & Schmid, Christopher & Lau, Joseph & England, Lucinda & Dietz, Patricia. (2007). Maternal Obesity and Risk of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes care*. 30. 2070-6. [10.2337/dc06-2559a](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-2559a).
- <sup>11</sup> [Collier A, Abraham C, Godwin J, et al. \(2016\). Reported prevalence of gestational diabetes in Scotland: The relationship with obesity, age, socioeconomic status, smoking and macrosomia, and how many are we missing? - Collier - 2017 - Journal of Diabetes Investigation - Wiley Online Library](#)
- <sup>12</sup> [Health Survey for England \(2019\). NHS Digital. Overweight and obesity in adults and children \(hscic.gov.uk\)](#).
- <sup>13</sup> Government Office for Science. (2007). Foresight. [Tackling obesities: future choices - project report \(2nd edition\) \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](#)
- <sup>14</sup> Scott et al (2018), The Food Foundation. [Affordability of the UK's Eatwell Guide | Food Foundation](#)
- <sup>15</sup> FILL Consortium (2022) Time to Measure and Monitor Local Food Insecurity. Evidence and Network on UK Food Insecurity, [LocalFI Measurement Brief Final.pdf \(enuf.org.uk\)](#)
- <sup>16</sup> Whittaker M. (November 2019) Charting the changing size and shape of the UK state. The Resolution Foundation, Nov. [The-shape-of-things-to-come.pdf \(resolutionfoundation.org\)](#)
- <sup>17</sup> Andersen AF, Carson C, Watt HC, Lawlor DA, Avlund K, Ebrahim S. (2008) Life-course socio-economic position, area deprivation and Type 2 diabetes: findings from the British Women's Heart and Health Study. *Diabet Med* (12):1462-8 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19046246/>
- <sup>18</sup> Obesity Health Alliance, Turning the Tide – A 10 year healthy weight strategy, [Turning-the-Tide-A-10-year-Healthy-Weight-Strategy.pdf \(obesityhealthalliance.org.uk\)](#)

- <sup>19</sup> <https://www.equalityni.org/Delivering-Equality/Addressing-inequality/Law-reform/Tabs/Gaps-in-equality-law>
- <sup>20</sup> Department of Health and Social Care (2011) *The nutrient profiling model* - GOV.UK ([www.gov.uk](http://www.gov.uk))
- <sup>21</sup> Sustain (2022) *Healthier Food Advertising Policy Toolkit* | Sustain ([sustainweb.org](http://sustainweb.org))
- <sup>22</sup> World Health Organisation, (1946) Constitution – Available online: [https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ShowMTDSGDetails.aspx?src=UNTSO&tabid=2&mtdsg\\_no=IX-1&chapter=9&lang=en](https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ShowMTDSGDetails.aspx?src=UNTSO&tabid=2&mtdsg_no=IX-1&chapter=9&lang=en)
- <sup>23</sup> (1989) United Nations and United Nations of Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner *Convention on the Rights of the Child* | OHCHR
- <sup>24</sup> Joseph Rowntree Foundation, UK Poverty Statistics – Available online: <https://www.jrf.org.uk/data>
- <sup>25</sup> Office for National Statistics, Health state life expectancy by deprivation deciles , England (2016-18) – Available online: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/healthinequalities/bulletins/healthstatelifeexpectanciesbyindexofmultipledeprivationimd/2016to2018>
- <sup>26</sup> UK Government, (2020), People living in deprived neighbourhoods <https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/uk-population-by-ethnicity/demographics/people-living-in-deprived-neighbourhoods/latest>
- <sup>27</sup> NHS Digital (2020) *Health Survey for England 2019*.
- <sup>28</sup> Collier, A. et al. (2017) Reported prevalence of gestational diabetes in Scotland: the relationship with obesity, age, socioeconomic status, smoking and macrosomia, and how many are we missing? Available online - [https://researchonline.gcu.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/25066584/Collier\\_et\\_al\\_2017\\_Journal\\_of\\_Diabetes\\_Investigation.pdf](https://researchonline.gcu.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/25066584/Collier_et_al_2017_Journal_of_Diabetes_Investigation.pdf)
- <sup>29</sup> NHS Digital (2020) *Health Survey for England 2019*.
- <sup>30</sup> NHS Digital, (2021), *National Child Measurement Programme – 2020/21 key statistics for 10-11 year olds*
- <sup>31</sup> NHS Digital, (2021), *National Child Measurement Programme – 2020/21 key statistics for 10-11 year olds*
- <sup>32</sup> Dinca-Panaitescu S, Dinca-Panaitescu M, Bryant T, Daiski I, Pilkington B, Raphael D.(2011) Diabetes prevalence and income: Results of the Canadian Community Health Survey. *Health Policy*. Feb;99(2):116-23. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.07.018. Epub 2010 Aug 17. PMID: 20724018. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20724018/>
- <sup>33</sup> Stringhini S, Tabak A G, Akbaraly T N, Sabia S, Shipley M J, Marmot M G et al. (2012) Contribution of modifiable risk factors to social inequalities in type 2 diabetes: prospective Whitehall II cohort study *BMJ*; 345 :e5452 doi:10.1136/bmj.e5452 <https://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e5452>
- <sup>34</sup> Yan, Y. X., Xiao, H. B., Wang, S. S., Zhao, J., He, Y., Wang, W., & Dong, J. (2016). Investigation of the Relationship Between Chronic Stress and Insulin Resistance in a Chinese Population. *Journal of epidemiology*, 26(7), 355–360. <https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20150183>
- <sup>35</sup> Silverman et al. (1995). Impaired Glucose Tolerance in Adolescent Offspring of Diabetic Mothers: Relationship to fetal hyperinsulinism. *Diabetes Care*, 18(5), 611-617. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.18.5.611>; Benyshek et al. (2001). A reconsideration of the origins of the type 2 diabetes epidemic among Native Americans and the implications for intervention policy. *Med Anthropol*, 20(1), 25-64. doi: 10.1080/01459740.2001.9966186; Ben-Shlomo and Kuh (2002). A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: conceptual models, empirical challenges and interdisciplinary perspectives. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 31(2), 285-293. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/31.2.285>; Branca and Ferrari 2002. Impact of micronutrient deficiencies on growth: the stunting syndrome. *Annals of nutrition & metabolism*, 46(Suppl 1), 8-17. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000066397>; Moore (2002). Trends in Diabetes Prevalence Among American Indian and Alaska Native Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92(9), 1485-1490. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.92.9.1485>; Barker (2003). The Developmental Origins of Adult Disease. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 18(8), 733-736. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3582917>.

- <sup>36</sup> Marmot, M. and E. Brunner. (2001), Epidemiological Applications of Long-Term Stress in Daily Life. *Advanced Psychosomatic Medicine*. 22: 80-90
- <sup>37</sup> Andersen AF, Carson C, et al.. (2008) Life-course socio-economic position, area deprivation and Type 2 diabetes: findings from the British Women's Heart and Health Study. *Diabet Med*. 25(12):1462-8. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19046246/>
- <sup>38</sup> FILL Consortium (2022) Time to Measure and Monitor Local Food Insecurity. Evidence and Network on UK Food Insecurity, [LocalFI MeasurementBrief Final.pdf \(enuf.org.uk\)](#)
- <sup>39</sup> Whittaker M. (November 2019) Charting the changing size and shape of the UK state. The Resolution Foundation, Nov. [The-shape-of-things-to-come.pdf \(resolutionfoundation.org\)](#)
- <sup>40</sup> Bratanova, Boyka & Loughnan, Steve & Klein, Olivier & Claassen, Almudena & Wood, Robert. (2016). Poverty, inequality, and increased consumption of high calorie food: Experimental evidence for a causal link. *Appetite*. 100. 10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.028
- <sup>41</sup> Laran, J., & Salerno, A. (2013). Life-History Strategy, Food Choice, and Caloric Consumption. *Psychological Science*, 24(2), 167–173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612450033>
- <sup>42</sup> Armstrong, B., Reynolds, C. , Martins, C. (2021) Food insecurity, food waste, food behaviours and cooking confidence of UK citizens at the start of the COVID-19 lockdown <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/26507/>
- <sup>43</sup> House of Lords Select Committee on Food, Poverty, Health and the Environment, *Hungry for Change: Fixing the Failures in Food*, (2020)
- <sup>44</sup> Scottish Government. (Published 2020). [Scottish Health Survey 2019 published - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](#)
- <sup>45</sup> NHS Digital (2020) Health Survey for England 2019
- <sup>46</sup> Northern Ireland Assembly. (2020) Research and Information Service Briefing Paper. Physical Activity and the Wellbeing of Children and Young People. <http://www.niassembly.gov.uk/globalassets/documents/raise/publications/2017-2022/2021/education/4021.pdf>
- <sup>47</sup> Public Health Wales (2021) <https://phw.nhs.wales/services-and-teams/child-measurement-programme/cmp-2018-19/child-measurement-programme-for-wales-report-2018-19/#:~:text=The figures have been recalculated, in the lowest three quintiles>
- <sup>48</sup> C.G. Victoria et al. (2016) 'Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect' *The Lancet* 387(10017): 475–90 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)01024-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7)
- <sup>49</sup> [Breastfeeding in the UK - Baby Friendly Initiative \(unicef.org.uk\)](#)
- <sup>50</sup> McKinsey Global Institute (2014 November) [MGI Overcoming obesity Full report.ashx \(mckinsey.com\)](#)
- <sup>51</sup> Sport Ireland (2018) The Children's Sport Participation and Physical Activity Study [https://www.sportireland.ie/sites/default/files/2019-10/csppa-2018-final-report\\_1.pdf](https://www.sportireland.ie/sites/default/files/2019-10/csppa-2018-final-report_1.pdf)
- <sup>52</sup> Stratton G, Edwards L, Tyler R. Active Healthy Kids – Wales (2018). <https://senedd.assembly.wales/documents/s76266/Active%20Healthy%20Kids%20Wales%202018%20Report.pdf>
- <sup>53</sup> [The National Food Strategy - The Plan \(2021\)](#)
- <sup>54</sup> Fernández-Reino, M. (2020) Children of migrants in the UK. Migration Observatory briefing, COMPAS, University of Oxford. [Briefing-The-Health-of-Migrants-in-the-UK.pdf \(ox.ac.uk\)](#)
- <sup>55</sup> Patrick R, Anstey K et al. (2021) A Covid Realities and Child Poverty Action Group Rapid-Response Report [Fixing Lunch.pdf \(cpag.org.uk\)](#)
- <sup>56</sup> Public Health England (2018) [PHE publishes latest data on nation's diet - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)
- <sup>57</sup> Public Health England. (2020). HMG. Available at: [NDNS: results from years 9 to 11 \(2016 to 2017 and 2018 to 2019\) - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)

- <sup>58</sup> HM Treasury (2018), [Soft Drinks Industry Levy comes into effect - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>59</sup> Public Health England (2019) [Sugar reduction: progress between 2015 and 2018 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>60</sup> Public Health England (2020), [Sugar reduction: progress report, 2015 to 2019 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>61</sup> Scarborough P et al (2016), [rg\\_living\\_longer\\_living\\_well\\_report - final\\_pdf - 24\\_05\\_16.pdf \(richmondgroupofcharities.org.uk\)](https://richmondgroupofcharities.org.uk)
- <sup>62</sup> Federici C, Detzel P et al. (2019) [The impact of food reformulation on nutrient intakes and health, a systematic review of modelling studies | BMC Nutrition | Full Text \(biomedcentral.com\)](https://biomedcentral.com). 7 January.
- <sup>63</sup> ComRes interviewed 2,036 adults in Great Britain online between 22-24 January 2016. Data were weighted to be representative of all adults in Great Britain aged 18+.
- <sup>64</sup> Friday 16 July 2021, [Supermarkets pledge support for Dimpleby food report over transparency | The Independent. Simon Neville](https://www.independent.co.uk)
- <sup>65</sup> The case for rebalancing the UK diet. [ultra-processed-foods\\_soil-association-report.pdf \(soilassociation.org\)](https://soilassociation.org)
- <sup>66</sup> Rauber F, Louzada MLdC, Martinez Steele E, et al. (2019) Ultra-processed foods and excessive free sugar intake in the UK: a nationally representative cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 9:e027546. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027546
- <sup>67</sup> Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2020) [Annual Report on household purchases of food and drink.Family Food 2018/19 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk) & [Family food datasets - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>68</sup> Public Health England (2015), [Sugar reduction: the evidence for action](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>69</sup> Wright J, Kmap E, White M et. al. (2015) Food at checkouts in non-food stores: a cross-sectional study of large adolescents and advertising, *Public Health Nutrition* 18 (15); pp 2786-2793
- <sup>70</sup> NHS Health Scotland. (2017) [Rapid evidence review: Impact of promotions on high fat, sugar and salt \(HFSS\) food and drink on consumer purchasing and consumption behaviour and effectiveness of retail environment interventions \(healthscotland.scot\)](https://healthscotland.scot)
- <sup>71</sup> Public Health England (2015), [Sugar reduction: the evidence for action](https://www.gov.uk)
- <sup>72</sup> Young B (2003) Does food advertising influence children's food choices? *International Journal of Advertising* 22; 441-459
- <sup>73</sup> American Psychological Association (2004) [Report of the APA taskforce on advertising and children](https://www.apa.org)
- <sup>74</sup> Boyland E, Thivel D, et al. (2020) [Digital Food Marketing to Young People: A Substantial Public Health Challenge - FullText - Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism 2020, Vol. 76, No. 1 - Karger Publishers](https://www.tandfonline.com)
- <sup>75</sup> Garcia AL, Morillo-Santander G, et al. (2022) [Confused health and nutrition claims in food marketing to children could adversely affect food choice and increase risk of obesity | Archives of Disease in Childhood \(bmj.com\)](https://www.bmj.com)
- <sup>76</sup> Tatlow-Golden M and Garde A. (2020) 'Digital food marketing to children: exploitation, surveillance and rights violations' *Global Food Security* 27: 100423 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100423>
- <sup>77</sup> B. Wood, et al. (2021) 'Market strategies used by processed food manufacturers to increase and consolidate their power: a systematic review and document analysis' *Global Health* 17: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-021-00667-7>
- <sup>78</sup> Sustain (2020) [Pester Power or Parent Power? Parents' Views of Child-friendly Characters on Food and Drink Packaging https://www.sustainweb.org/publications/pester\\_power\\_or\\_parent\\_power/#](https://www.sustainweb.org/publications/pester_power_or_parent_power/#)
- <sup>79</sup> Yau A, Adams J et al. (2019) [Sociodemographic differences in self-reported exposure to high fat, salt and sugar food and drink advertising: a cross-sectional analysis of 2019 UK panel data | BMJ Open](https://www.bmj.com)
- <sup>80</sup> 17 Feb 2022 [TfL junk food ad ban has helped Londoners shop more healthily – study | Health | The Guardian Nadeem Badshah](https://www.theguardian.com)

- <sup>81</sup> National Food Strategy Analysis. [National Food Strategy Independent Review \(publishing.service.gov.uk\)](https://publishing.service.gov.uk)  
Sources – Hospital patient meals: NHS Digital. (2021). Estates Returns Information Collection Summary page and dataset for ERIC 2018/19. Available at: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/estates-returns-information-collection/england-2018-1>
- <sup>82</sup> WHO (2021) [WHO urges governments to promote healthy food in public facilities](#)
- <sup>83</sup> Bite Back (2021) Tell the Food Industry: Don't Hide What's Inside! ([biteback2030.com](http://biteback2030.com))
- <sup>84</sup> Food Standards Agency (2019) November. Public Attitudes Tracker Wave 19 ([food.gov.uk](http://food.gov.uk))
- <sup>85</sup> Diabetes UK (2018) People with diabetes say all companies should use the same food labelling | Diabetes UK
- <sup>86</sup> Food Standards Agency (2009). Expert panel presents report on front-of-pack nutrition labelling
- <sup>87</sup> Public Health England (2017), Health Matters: Obesity and the food environment
- <sup>88</sup> Jawarowska A, Blackham T et al. (2012) Determination of salt content in hot takeaway meals in the United Kingdom, *Appetite*, Oct;59(2): 517-22
- <sup>89</sup> Crockett RA, King E et al. (2018) [Nutritional labelling for healthier food or non-alcoholic drink purchasing and consumption-| Cochrane Library](#)
- <sup>90</sup> Friday 16 July. [Supermarkets pledge support for Dimpleby food report over transparency | The Independent. Simon Neville.](#)
- <sup>91</sup> Public Health England (2018). [Calorie reduction: the scope and ambition for action - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)
- <sup>92</sup> Public Health England & Foods Standards Agency. (2018) National Diet and Nutrition Survey. Results from Years 7 and 8 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2014/2015 to 2015/2016). [NDNS: results from years 7 and 8 \(combined\) - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)
- <sup>93</sup> Public Health England. (2016) National Diet and Nutrition Survey [NDNS: assessment of dietary sodium in adults in England, 2014 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#)
- <sup>94</sup> Marteau T, Hollands G et al. (2015) [Downsizing: policy options to reduce portion sizes to help tackle obesity | The BMJ](#)
- <sup>95</sup> McKinsey Global Institute (2014 November) [MGI Overcoming obesity Full report.ashx \(mckinsey.com\)](#)
- <sup>96</sup> Food Foundation (2021) Broken Plate Report 2021
- <sup>97</sup> Marmot, M., (2020) Health Equity in England: The Marmot Review 10 years on – Available at: <https://www.health.org.uk/publications/reports/the-marmot-review-10-years-on>
- <sup>98</sup> Maas J, Verheij RA, De Vries S, et al. (2009) Morbidity is related to a green living environment. *J Epidemiol Community Health*; 63: 967–973
- <sup>99</sup> Nosrati E, Jenum AK, et al. (2018). Ethnicity and place: the geography of diabetes inequalities under a strong welfare state. *European journal of public health*, 28(1), 30–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckx119>  
<https://bmcendocrdisord.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12902-019-0463-3#ref-CR93>
- <sup>100</sup> The Kings Fund (2020) [What is social prescribing? | The King's Fund \(kingsfund.org.uk\)](#)
- <sup>101</sup> Local Trust (2021), Left Behind Survey. Suration Poll. Available online: [http://localtrust.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Left-Behind-2021-summary-document\\_Suration030821.pdf](http://localtrust.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Left-Behind-2021-summary-document_Suration030821.pdf)
- <sup>102</sup> Friends of the Earth [Access to green space in England | Friends of the Earth Accessed: 23/10/22](#)
- <sup>103</sup> [Access to green space in England | Friends of the Earth](#)
- <sup>104</sup> Scottish Government, (2018) [Scottish household survey 2018: annual report - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](#)
- <sup>105</sup> <https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/statistics-and-research/2020-07/adult-lifestyle-national-survey-wales-april-2019-march-2020-390.pdf>

- <sup>106</sup> Astell-Burt, T., Feng, X., & Kolt, G. S. (2014). Is neighborhood green space associated with a lower risk of type 2 diabetes? Evidence from 267,072 Australians. *Diabetes care*, 37(1), 197–201. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-1325> <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24026544/>
- <sup>107</sup> Fields in Trust, (2018), *Revaluing Parks and Green Spaces*. Available online: <https://www.fieldsintrust.org/Upload/file/research/Revaluing-Parks-and-Green-Spaces-Report.pdf>
- <sup>108</sup> Cox CE. (2017) *Role of Physical Activity for Weight Loss and Weight Maintenance | Diabetes Spectrum* ([diabetesjournals.org](https://diabetesjournals.org)).
- <sup>109</sup> Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, et al. (2016) *Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association | Diabetes Care | American Diabetes Association* ([diabetesjournals.org](https://diabetesjournals.org)) 39(11):2065-2079
- <sup>110</sup> Hamilton MT, Hmalton DG, Zderic TW, Sedentary behaviour as a mediator of type 2 diabetes, *Med Sport Sci*, 2014;60:11-26
- <sup>111</sup> Wu J, Li Q, Feng Y, et al (2021) Active commuting and the risk of obesity, hypertension and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ Global Health*;6:e005838.<https://gh.bmj.com/content/6/6/e005838>
- <sup>112</sup> Fazli GS, Moineddin R, Chu A, et al., (2020) Neighbourhood walkability and pre-diabetes incidence in a multi-ethnic population., *BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care*;8:e000908. doi: 10.1136/bmjdr-2019-000908 <https://drc.bmj.com/content/8/1/e000908>
- <sup>113</sup> Sustrans (2019), *Common misconceptions of active travel investment report*. Available online: <https://www.sustrans.org.uk/media/5224/common-misconceptions-of-active-travel-investment.pdf>
- <sup>114</sup> UK Government, (2021), *Spending Review 2021*. Available online: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/autumn-budget-and-spending-review-2021-documents>
- <sup>115</sup> Transport for New Homes (2020) *Garden Villages and Garden Towns: Visions and Reality* <https://www.transportfornewhomes.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/garden-village-visions.pdf>
- <sup>116</sup> Groundwork (2021) *Out of Bounds: Equity in Access to Urban Nature – An Overview of the Evidence and what it means for the Parks, Green and Blue Spaces in our Towns and Cities* <https://www.groundwork.org.uk/about-groundwork/reports/outofbounds>
- <sup>117</sup> Burgoine T, Forouhi NG, et al. (2014) *Associations between exposure to takeaway food outlets, takeaway food consumption, and body weight in Cambridgeshire, UK: population based, cross sectional study - PubMed* ([nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) Mar 13;348:g1464.doi: 10.1136/bmj.g1464
- <sup>118</sup> Keeble M, Adams J, et al. (2021) *Socioeconomic inequalities in food outlet access through an online food delivery service in England: A cross-sectional descriptive analysis | Elsevier Enhanced Reader*
- <sup>119</sup> Keeble M, Burgoine T, et al. (2019) *How does local government use the planning system to regulate hot food takeaway outlets? A census of current practice in England using document review | Elsevier Enhanced Reader*
- <sup>120</sup> Ferrie JE, Virtanen M, Jokela M, et al. (2016) Job insecurity and risk of diabetes: a meta-analysis of individual participant data *CMAJ* Dec 2016, 188 (17-18) E447-E455; DOI: 10.1503/cmaj.150942 <https://www.cmaj.ca/content/188/17-18/e447>
- <sup>121</sup> Ferrie JE, Virtanen M, Jokela M et al. (2016) Job insecurity and risk of diabetes: a meta-analysis of individual participant data *CMAJ* Dec 2016, 188 (17-18) E447-E455; DOI: 10.1503/cmaj.150942 <https://www.cmaj.ca/content/188/17-18/e447>
- <sup>122</sup> *Job insecurity and risk of diabetes: a meta-analysis of individual participant data - PubMed* ([nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov))
- <sup>123</sup> Marmot, M., (2020) *Health Equity in England: The Marmot Review 10 years on – Available at:* <https://www.health.org.uk/publications/reports/the-marmot-review-10-years-on>

- <sup>124</sup> Kivimäki M, Virtanen M, Kawachi I, et al., (2015). Long working hours, socioeconomic status, and the risk of incident type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of published and unpublished data from 222 120 individuals - PubMed (nih.gov) *The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology*, 3(1), 27–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(14\)70178-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70178-0)
- <sup>125</sup> Vetter C, Dashti HS, Lane JM, et al. (2018) Night Shift Work, Genetic Risk, and Type 2 Diabetes in the UK Biobank, *Diabetes Care*, 41 (4) 762-769; DOI: 10.2337/dc17-1933
- <sup>126</sup> Mutambudzi M, Javed Z. (2016) Job strain as a risk factor for incident diabetes mellitus in middle and older age US workers. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 71:1089–96. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbw091
- <sup>127</sup> Burton A. (2007). Does poor housing raise diabetes risk?. *Environmental health perspectives*, 115(11), A534. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.115-a534> <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2072858/>
- <sup>128</sup> Shelter (2017) Happier and healthier: Improving conditions in the private rented sector. Available online - [https://assets.ctfassets.net/6sxvmndn0s/2OUOJEw4kzrfeEvASnwP0/b128c626b6a525c19503bfd3dd41a9e/Happier and healthier Improving conditions in the private rented sector ....pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/6sxvmndn0s/2OUOJEw4kzrfeEvASnwP0/b128c626b6a525c19503bfd3dd41a9e/Happier_and_healthier_Improving_conditions_in_the_private_rented_sector_....pdf)
- <sup>129</sup> Kolak M, Abraham G, Talen MR. (2019) Mapping census tract clusters of type 2 diabetes in a primary care population. *Prev Chronic Dis*;16:E59
- <sup>130</sup> Local Trust (2021), Left Behind Survey. Survation Poll. Available online: [http://localtrust.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Left-Behind-2021-summary-document\\_Survation030821.pdf](http://localtrust.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Left-Behind-2021-summary-document_Survation030821.pdf)
- <sup>131</sup> IPCC.(2019) Special Report on Climate Change and Land. Food Security. Chapter 5. <https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/chapter/chapter-5/>
- <sup>132</sup> Scheelbeek PFD, Moss C, Kastner T, et al. (2020) UK's fruit and vegetable supply increasingly dependent on imports from climate vulnerable producing countries (nih.gov)
- <sup>133</sup> Banks N, et al. (2014) Joseph Rowntree Foundation. <https://www.jrf.org.uk/report/climate-change-and-social-justice-evidence-review>